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Financial Update

David Arnott
CFO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Q3 2008</th>
<th>Q3 2007</th>
<th>Δ yoy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licence revenue</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenue</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash from ops.</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>(6.4)</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Income Statement Detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Q3 08</th>
<th>Q3 07</th>
<th>Δ</th>
<th>LTM 08</th>
<th>LTM 07</th>
<th>Δ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licences</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>+26%</td>
<td>168.5</td>
<td>124.7</td>
<td>+35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>+32%</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>+36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>+69%</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>+65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>70.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>+42%</strong></td>
<td><strong>410.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>283.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>+45%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>(19.2)</td>
<td>(14.9)</td>
<td>+28%</td>
<td>(74.5)</td>
<td>(47.9)</td>
<td>+56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of services</td>
<td>(36.9)</td>
<td>(21.6)</td>
<td>+71%</td>
<td>(137.5)</td>
<td>(84.1)</td>
<td>+64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and marketing</td>
<td>(20.2)</td>
<td>(19.6)</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>(77.8)</td>
<td>(63.3)</td>
<td>+23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G&amp;A</td>
<td>(13.0)</td>
<td>(8.4)</td>
<td>+55%</td>
<td>(48.7)</td>
<td>(42.4)</td>
<td>+15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>(89.2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(64.6)</strong></td>
<td><strong>+38%</strong></td>
<td><strong>(338.5)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(237.7)</strong></td>
<td><strong>+42%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>+79%</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>+57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>+230bps</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>+130bps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Like-for-like growth was strong in the quarter across all revenue lines:

**I-f-l revenue in Q3**

2007 restated at 2008 FX (adds USD2.3m to Q3 07 and USD12.0m to LTM 07)

* Adjusted for FX movements and any contribution from acquisitions

**Actis was acquired in March 2007 and so adjustment is made for 5 months to Feb 08 only
Despite strong l-f-l revenue growth, underlying costs were down sequentially:

Cash l-f-l costs Q308 vs. Q208

vs. stated cost growth +4%
## Non-operating income and expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Q3 08</th>
<th>Q3 07</th>
<th>△</th>
<th>LTM 08</th>
<th>LTM 07</th>
<th>△</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EBIT</strong></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>+79%</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>+57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Finance charge</strong></td>
<td>(2.3)</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>+130%</td>
<td>(4.6)</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>+92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FX (loss)/gain</strong></td>
<td>(3.6)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>(2.3)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tax</strong></td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>(2.9)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net earnings</strong></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>-49%</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>+41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted EPS</strong></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-24%</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>+44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Tax assets used to offset tax charges in the quarter
- Net finance charge in quarter reflects higher debt arising from acquisitions and arrangement fee for the same
- Non-cash FX loss in quarter arises from translation of derivatives and closing balances

*Adjusted for amortisation of acquired intangibles
DSOs – on course for year end target

- 9 day decrease in DSOs in quarter, trend remains clearly downwards
- On course to meet forecast of 10-15 day improvement in DSOs by end of 2008

Sustained improvement driven by

- Shorter implementation times:
  
  Down from average of 18 months in 2006 to 9-12 months

- Improving payment terms:
  
  In aggregate YTD, now stand at:
  
  - >50% up-front
  - >30% on dates
  - <10% on milestones

* Included in LTM revenue are pro-forma revenues for Informer and Financial Objects
EBITDA conversion* – full year forecast reiterated

- EBITDA conversion rebounded in Q3 and trend sustained.
- Target for FY of 75% operating cash conversion on track.

* Conversion of LTM EBITDA in LTM operating cash flow
Temenos policy is to return free cash flow to shareholders through share repurchases. This has not changed.

- In 2008 Temenos has approval for USD60m of share buybacks
- So far we have used US34.6m purchasing our own shares
- This leaves us with possibility to spend a further USD25.4m on share repurchases
- Subject to normalisation of credit markets, we reconfirm that this is our intention
### On balance sheet cash:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>USDm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At Start of year:</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus free cashflow outlook for 2008</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less returned to shareholders through buyback</td>
<td>(60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forecast cash at year end</strong></td>
<td><strong>93.1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt facility*</td>
<td>220.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less debt assumed in relation to two acquisitions</td>
<td>(69.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining debt facility</strong></td>
<td><strong>150.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total available funding as at December 31 2008</strong></td>
<td><strong>243.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have both debt and equity available for acquisitions and will review the suitable finance structure based on conditions at the time. Debt facility, reconfirmed, has good margin and covenant terms.

*USD220m credit facility now in place with consortium of 7 banks split USD175m for acquisitions and USD45m for working capital facility. Facility available for drawdown up to end of 2010 with repayment up to end of 2012. Margin of between LIBOR +100bps and +175bps.
Acquisition Update

Max Chuard
Director of M&A & IR
Integration progressing well

• Acquired core banking assets of Informer on 18 July
• Integration process now largely completed
• Reiterate expected contribution:
  2009: revenue USD20m, EBITA USD6.5m, adjusted EPS USD0.08

• Acquisition finalised on 11 September
• Integration process on track, to be completed during Q4
• Reiterate expected contribution:
  2009: revenue USD40m, EBITA USD9.4m, adjusted EPS USD0.08

• We see strong interest from FO installed base
Acquisition strategy – update

- Current environment presenting interesting opportunities, pipeline continues to build strongly
- No change to target criteria:
  - Bolt-on acquisitions to boost organic growth
  - USD20-50m revenue
  - Accretive
  - Geographic expansion into markets where underrepresented
  - Market penetration through buying up customer bases
  - Entry into adjacent markets
Strategy and Business Update

Andreas Andreades
CEO
Business update - licence sales

Strong growth in sales to new customers...

- New clients in Q1-Q3 08 at 35 vs. 30 in Q1-Q3 07 (+17%)...
- ...and Q3 08 new clients at 13 vs. 9 in Q3 07 (+44%)... particularly in retail/universal

→ Retail and universal licence growth is up 80% in the last twelve months

... and across all geographies

→ Even Europe has seen +20% licence growth over the last twelve months
Despite lower proportion of T24 licence revenue coming from Tier 1 Banks...

...we continue to record strong licence revenue growth, thanks to:

- More deals
- Better pricing (per user, per module pricing up 20% YTD)
• **Increasing sales coverage**

  • Broadening and deepening geographical coverage still biggest driver of sales growth

  • Our client base stands at over 650 banks…
    …out of 22,000 banks worldwide

  • We aim to have c.60 quota-carrying salespeople by the year end (55 at 30 September 2008)

  • We have organised TCB under global reporting lines…
    ….and are seeking out more Metavante-type distribution agreements
• **Update on Partnership with Metavante:**

  • TCB partnership progressing well at all levels
  
  • Partnership extended on 30 July to include joint marketing agreement for T24
  
  • First joint T24 deal with EverBank was signed in Q3
  
  • Despite very difficult regional market in the US, we continue to expect more deals this year (TCB and T24)
• **ARC:**

  • ARC has so far been sold to 52 clients (10 in Q3)
  • ARC now represents 5% of total T24 licences (on LTM basis)
  • So far, good uptake of Internet Banking modules…
  • … and we expect faster adoption of CRM modules
Improving Sales and Margins, Becoming Best in Class

- **Model Bank**
  - Used in projects from Q4 2006 onwards
  - Proven to shorten implementation timeframes by 50%

- **TEMENOS Application Management**
  - TAM progressing in line with plan – headcount up to 373
  - TAM has achieved Level 3 CMMI compliance

- **TEMENOS Management Consulting**
  - Gaining traction, integral part of implementation methodology

- **Rising Margins**
  - Margin expectation of 10-15% in 2008 unchanged
  - Improvement in Q4 afforded by higher utilisation and lower use of external resources

---

**Q3 2008 highlights**

- Revenue up by 69% yoy
- Margin at 7% (8% in PY)
- 6 Clients taken live
- 4 clients upgraded
- 147 active projects
As a reminder, the sales cycle for our software is 9-12 months
→ this is consistent with 2007, not elongating

Our win rate remains above 80%
→ it was, in fact, above 85% in Q3

We have good deal coverage
→ the 12 month forward pipeline is 35% higher than at the start of 2008
→ for Q4, cover is 18% higher than at same point last year

Our visibility is better than at the same time last year, and we do not anticipate lower conversion rates…
Why do banks continue to take decisions in this environment?

• As part of the sales process, we track the “compelling event” that obliges a prospect to replace its banking system at this time.

• The breakdown (by number of deals, not weighted) for Q3 was as follows:

![Pie chart showing the distribution of deal drivers for Q3.]

By far the biggest drivers are:

• existing users taking more modules, etc
• system obsolescence
• growth

• The mix of drivers in Q4 pipeline deals is similar, so we would expect similarly high conversion rates.
## 2008 outlook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Outlook</th>
<th>New Outlook</th>
<th>2007 Actual</th>
<th>y-o-y △</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licences</td>
<td>178.5</td>
<td>178.5</td>
<td>148.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>329.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>355.4</td>
<td>355.4</td>
<td>267.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT margin</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted EPS*</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Despite tough economic conditions, strong trading at Q3 allows us to reiterate previous outlook (given post Informer and Financial Objects acquisitions)

All nos. in USDm, except EPS USD

*Adjusted for amortisation of acquired intangibles and restructuring charge (2008 outlook: USD5.6m including Informer & FO amortisation and restructuring); no of fully diluted shares 69.7m
Looking ahead – streamlining the business

• Pro-forma revenue in 2008 is expected to be c. USD475m

  The product of:
  → 5 years of rapid growth
  → 3 acquisitions in 2 years

• Size and scale allow us:

  • To deliver industry-standard margins…
  • …with sustainable revenue growth
Looking ahead – streamlining the business

• The scope of the integration process for our two recent acquisitions has been extended to encompass a broader group-wide streamlining programme in Q4…
  • To remove some excess costs in the business
  • To channel spending into growth areas of the business
  • To streamline and move more processes offshore…
  • …taking strategic initiatives like TAM and Model Bank to next level
  • To reduce dependence on external contractors in services
  • To consolidate property portfolio

  • …to improve organisational agility…

  • …and lock-in higher margins, irrespective of the environment

  \[ \text{Streamlining will result in USD20m lower cost base for 2009} \]
Looking ahead - 2009

Our previous assumptions for 2009 were as follows:

• 20 - 25% organic revenue growth for 2009
• 200-300bps margin improvement, which…
• …adding USD0.16 accretion from acquisitions…
• …gives c.40% EPS growth

Based on what we see, no reason for us to change outlook…

→ Pipeline of deals remains solid into next year
→ Cover higher now than 3 months ago and at same time last year
→ Conversion rates holding up

…But clearly macroeconomy more uncertain than at last reporting date…
Looking ahead - 2009

Nonetheless, given lower base cost going into 2009 …
…earnings growth protected even using more pessimistic assumptions:

Illustration of 2009 EBIT with zero licence growth

- Outlook EBIT 2008
  - USD89m (margin:20%)
- Locked-in maintenance growth 2009
  - USD20m
- Reduction in cost base*
  - USD21m
- 2009 EBIT
  - USD130m (26%)

*vs. pro-forma 2008 pro-forma costs base of USD383m
Banks are still taking decisions because need for new systems critical…

… and likely to become even more so:

**What banks are facing**

- Higher credit costs
- Slow-down in high-margin business
- More regulation
- More scrutiny on size
- Lower IT budgets

**What this means**

- Need to cut costs
- Need to grow retail business (improve customer experience, innovate with products, cross-sell)
- Need to rationalise maintenance costs, reduce internal IT spend
- Need flexible, adaptable system
We continue to grow ahead of our plan on both T24 and TCB, underpinned by:

- Superior products
- Win ratio at above 80%
- Compelling investment and product roadmap
- Broad geographical reach
- Growing sales force
- Services initiatives of model bank, business consulting and TAM
- A management focused on execution
- Multiple growth initiatives, which are already starting to deliver
- Metavante partnership
- Accretive bolt-on acquisitions
## Research and Development

| USD billions | Q3 08 | Q3 07 | % | 12 mths to | 12 mths to |
|--------------|-------|-------|---|Sept 08    | Sept 07    |
|              |       |       |  | %          | %          |
| R&D Costs – as reported | 19.2 | 14.9 | 29% | 74.5 | 47.9 | 56% |
| Capitalised development costs | 5.1 | 4.1 | | 18.7 | 15.6 | |
| Less Non cash items | (4.3) | (3.6) | 19% | (17.1) | (12.0) | 42% |
| Less ACTIS* | 0.0 | 0.0 | | (3.9) | 0.0 | |
| Less Informer | (0.1) | 0.0 | | (0.1) | 0.0 | |
| Less Financial Objects | (0.8) | 0.0 | | (0.8) | 0.0 | |
| Currency impact | n/a | 0.0 | | n/a | 2.8 | |
| Variable costs | (0.1) | (0.7) | | (2.1) | (1.6) | |
| **R&D costs underlying** | **19.0** | **14.7** | **29%** | **69.2** | **52.7** | **31%** |

*Actis was acquired in March 2007 and so adjustment is made for 5 months to Feb 08 only.*
### Sales and Marketing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USD millions</th>
<th>Q3 08</th>
<th>Q3 07</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>12 mths to Sept 08</th>
<th>Sept 07</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;M costs – as reported</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less non-cash</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(1.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(6.8)</td>
<td>(4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less ACTIS*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Informer</td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.4)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Financial Objects</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency impact</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable costs</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
<td>(4.0)</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>(21.9)</td>
<td>(18.1)</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S&amp;M costs underlying</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>-10%</strong></td>
<td><strong>46.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>43.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>8%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Actis was acquired in March 2007 and so adjustment is made for 5 months to Feb 08 only*
**General & Administrative Costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USD millions</th>
<th>Q3 08</th>
<th>Q3 07</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>12 mths to Sept 08</th>
<th>Sept 07</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G&amp;A costs – as reported</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less non-cash</td>
<td>(2.9)</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(9.9)</td>
<td>(7.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less ACTIS*</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Informer</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Financial Objects</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency impact</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable costs</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.1)</td>
<td>(3.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G&amp;A costs underlying</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>7.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>24%</strong></td>
<td><strong>34.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>33.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>3%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Actis was acquired in March 2007 and so adjustment is made for 5 months to Feb 08 only*
As at 31 December 2007 the Group has significant unrecognised deferred tax assets (DTAs). These arise from:

- Losses carried forward
- Taxable temporary differences arising from repatriation of the group’s software intellectual property to Switzerland in 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USDm</th>
<th>Total potential</th>
<th>DTA</th>
<th>Recognised</th>
<th>Unrecognised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax arising from:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax losses carried forward</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repatriation of the IP to Switzerland</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred tax assets related to losses and temporary differences become increasingly recognisable as we gain improved visibility over future profits in the relevant jurisdictions.

Recognition of deferred tax assets on losses and temporary differences will reduce the group’s effective tax rate for 2008 and thereafter.

For 2008 we assume that recognition of deferred tax assets will fully offset the income tax charge resulting in a zero or negative overall tax charge.

The majority of the group’s income is attributable to Swiss entities. Income is currently reduced by intellectual property amortisation and after amortisation is subject to tax at a rate of approximately 11%.
On 8/7/2008, Swiss Exchange announces that Temenos will join SMIM (mid-cap) index. The adjustment was made after closing on September 19th, and took effect on September 22nd. Selection is based on average market capitalisation and traded volumes from July 2007 to June 2008. Temenos entered the index in 46th place.
Leading the IBS league table

First place in the 2007 IBS Sales League Table

Corebanking Positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>T24</td>
<td>TEMENOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TCS BANCS</td>
<td>TCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Flexcube</td>
<td>i-flex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Top two positions in the IBS Sales League Table for 9 out of the last 10 years
- Second place vendor in 2007 had 20 fewer wins
TEMENOS' products are multi award winning. In 2007, awards included:

T24 was named no.1 best-selling core banking system in 2007 in the IBS Journal's annual sales league table. Martin Whybrow, editor, commented, “The company has an established, strong product combined with a large and professional sales organisation.”

T24 won the best core banking product at the European banking technology awards. TEMENOS system beat off competition from both I-flex and Misys. David Bannister, editor of Banking Technology added “The companies that won in these categories can be justifiably proud that their products and services are known and recognised in the wider market”

TEMENOS eMerge on T24 won The Banker’s marketing technology of the year award. Stephen Timewell, editor-in-chief noted “The judging panel were impressed by not only the technology, but how truly cost effective it was in terms of implementation as well as the level of service offered by Temenos.”
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